MEETING MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OCTOBER 7, 2026, 5:00 PM

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

   President Doolittle called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

2. **DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM & ATTENDANCE**

   Board Members Present: President Doolittle, Vice President Fick, Director Floen, Director Jarlsberg, Director Fawke

   Staff Present: General Manager Pro Tem Roman, Director of Administration Shook, Accounting Supervisor Rich, Executive Assistant Thompson

   Consultant(s) Present: Legal Counsel, Jeff Hoskinson; Public Outreach Consultant, Kathleen Radnich

   Citizens Advisory Council Member(s) Present: David Carrillo

3. **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

   Director Jarlsberg moved to approve the agenda and seconded by Director Floen and approved by the following vote:

1st / 2nd	Jarlsberg/Floen
Ayes:	Doolittle, Fick, Floen, Jarlsberg, Fawke
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	None

4. **PUBLIC COMMENT –**

   The District also received two written public comments from Steve Bardwell of the Morongo Basin Conservation Association and Erin Gatlin, which was provided to the Board as supplemental communications prior to the meeting. To view the recording of the meeting, please click here .

5. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

   A. DRAFT MINUTES – 09.28.26 – President Doolittle requested that the minutes be pulled from the Consent Calendar.

6. **ITEMS PULLED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR FOR DISCUSSION**

   A. DRAFT MINUTES – 09.28.26 – President Doolittle made corrected the third paragraph of Agenda Item 7A, changing “at the direction” of President Doolittle” to “at the request of President Doolittle.”

Director Fick made a motion to approve the 09.28.26 minutes as amended, seconded by Director Jarlsberg and approved by the following vote:

1st / 2nd	Fick /Jarlsberg
Ayes:	Doolittle, Fick, Floen, Jarlsberg, Fawke
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	None

7. DISCUSSION/ACTION CALENDAR

A. AUGUST 2026 CHECK REGISTER

PRESENTED BY: GENERAL MANAGER PRO TEM, ANNE ROMAN, ACCOUNTING SUPERVISOR, AUTUMN RICH
RECOMMENDED ACTION: APPROVE CHECK REGISTER

Director Floen moved to approve the August 2026 Check Register and seconded by Director Jarlsberg and approved by the following vote:

1st / 2nd	Floen/Jarlsberg
Ayes:	Doolittle, Fick, Floen, Jarlsberg, Fawke
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	None

B. SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY JOSHUA TREE SEPTIC-TO-SEWER INITIATIVE-QUALIFIED RESOLUTION
PRESENTED BY: GENERAL MANAGER PRO TEM, ANNE ROMAN, ACCOUNTING SUPERVISOR, AUTUMN RICH
RECOMMENDED ACTION: CONSIDER ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 26-1100 REGARDING CONTINUED COUNTY PHASE I PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY WORK FOR THE JOSHUA TREE SEPTIC-TO-SEWER INITIATIVE

General Manager Pro Tem Roman presented the San Bernardino County Joshua Tree Septic-to-Sewer Initiative Qualified Resolution and reviewed revisions made in response to the Board's discussion at the September 28, 2026, Special Meeting. The revisions clarified support for continued Phase I planning without presuming an outcome, affirmed the Board's governance and oversight responsibilities, emphasized meaningful District participation in matters affecting its responsibilities or authority, addressed interagency decision-making roles and dispute resolution, and requested regular County updates, preferably quarterly.

Public Comments:
Neil Rauchenberg, a longtime Joshua Tree resident, urged the Board to preserve District funds and have the County lead and fund wastewater planning. He expressed concerns about the District's ability to manage a sewer system, recommended hiring a liaison to coordinate with the County, and encouraged the Board to use the County's plan to evaluate future options for advancing the septic-to-sewer initiative.

Erin Gatlin urged caution regarding the County's septic-to-sewer study, emphasizing the need for coordinated planning, meaningful District participation, and a written agreement defining agency responsibilities and dispute resolution. She expressed concerns about limited County engagement, transparency, unanswered questions, and the lack of a clear project timeline, noting that qualified support could be interpreted as unconditional support and that the conditions may be disregarded.

Director Floen moved to adopt Resolution 26-1100 Regarding Continued County Phase 1 Planning and Feasibility Work for the Joshua Tree Septic-to-Sewer Initiative with the amendment to remove "individual directors" from the last sentence of Section 3 of the resolution and seconded by Director Jarlsberg and defeated by the following vote:

1st / 2nd	Floen/Jarlsberg
Ayes:	Floen, Jarlsberg
Noes:	Fick, Fawke, Doolittle
Abstain:	None
Absent:	None

By consensus, the Board directed staff to prepare a letter addressed to the County Supervisor's Office regarding the Joshua Tree Septic-to-Sewer Initiative and return it to the Board for consideration and approval at the next Board meeting, or as soon as the letter could be prepared. No formal vote was taken.

C. COMPENSATION FOR CONCURRENT GM PRO TEM ASSIGNMENT

PRESENTED BY: GENERAL COUNSEL, JEFF HOSKINSON

RECOMMENDED ACTION: CONSIDER COMPENSATION FOR CONCURRENT GM PRO TEM ASSIGNMENT

General Counsel Jeff Hoskinson presented the Compensation for Concurrent GM Pro Tem Assignment, explaining that Anne Roman continued to perform her Director of Finance duties while assuming additional General Manager Pro Tem responsibilities through November 9, 2026. He reviewed compensation options of 10%, 15%, and 20%, with corresponding hourly rates respectively, for the Board's consideration.

Director Floen moved to consider compensation for Concurrent GM Pro Tem Assignment at an hourly rate of $128.30 and seconded by Director Fick and approved by the following vote:

1st / 2nd	Floen/Fick
Ayes:	Doolittle, Fick, Floen, Jarlsberg, Fawke
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	None

8. DIRECTOR REPORTS/COMMENTS

President Doolittle

- Doolittle reported that she and Director Fawke attended the San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency's State of the Pass event on October 6, 2026, where speakers emphasized the importance of reliable water infrastructure and long-term planning to support economic growth.
- Doolittle attended the September MWA TAC meeting, where Bighorn Desert View Water Agency presented on Goat Mountain Well 13, titled "The Good, the Bad and the Ugly." Doolittle shared updates on well construction challenges, recharge facilities, the Ames Reche project, and potential MWA gap funding for District projects.
- Doolittle reported that Phil Murray (USMC), who works for Aaron Adams in the Department of Encroachment, contacted her last week regarding emergency services and is coordinating with local contacts.
- Doolittle suggested that the upcoming rate study consider itemizing water bills to show electricity and recharge costs separately from the base fee and tiered water commodity charges.

Vice President Fick

- None

Director Floen

- Floen reported that he will be attending the Copper Mountain Mesa board meeting on Saturday.

Director Jarlsberg

- None

Director Fawke

- None

9. MANAGER'S REPORT

General Manager Pro Tem Roman prepared and distributed a written manager's report which is included as an attachment to the minutes.

10. AGENDA ITEMS REQUESTED BY THE BOARD

- Doolittle –None
- Floen – None
- Jarlsberg – None
- Fick – None
- Fawke – None

11. CLOSED SESSION

A. PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT (Govt. Code § 54957)
Title: Interim/Acting General Manager

The meeting adjourned to closed session at 7:37 pm
The meeting reconvened to open session at 8:32 pm

Legal Counsel, Jeff Hoskinson reported that no reportable action was taken.

12. ADJOURNMENT

On motion by Director Floen seconded by Director Fawke, and approved by the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 8:32 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

ANNE ROMAN (Oct 26, 2026 12:17:15 PDT)

Anne Roman, General Manager Pro Tem & Board Secretary

TO VIEW THE MEETING RECORDING ON YOUTUBE, CLICK HERE:

JOSHUA BASIN WATER DISTRICT
Proudly providing water from an ancient source…well into the future

Managers' Report

PRESENTED ON: 10.07.26

PURPOSE:
The Managers Report provides the Board of Directors with a summary of **noteworthy** activities, meetings attended, and updates from the General Manager, Operations, Administration, Finance and other District functions. The report is not intended to be all-inclusive and does not replace separate staff reports or agenda items for matters requiring Board discussion or action. The Managers Report will be provided at least monthly and may be presented more frequently as needed.

GENERAL MANAGER

Staff continues to band together and support the GM Pro Tem during the General Manager's leave. Everyone has been particularly helpful and understanding of time constraints. The GM Pro Tem is greatly appreciative!
GM Pro Tem will be on an unavoidable, long-ago planned, out-of-town vacation from October 19 – 24th. Director of Administration, David Shook, will be filling in as needed during that time. GM Pro Tem will be mostly available for any urgent matters by phone, text, and Teams (except where Death Valley lack of phone signals prohibit it).

OPERATIONS

The District's E2-1 Reservoir Rehabilitation Project is nearing completion. The project has resulted in increased traffic and activity in an area where residents particularly value their privacy. While access to the reservoir is legally established through a public roadway and three permanent easements, the District has worked closely with the neighboring property owner and contractor to reasonably accommodate concerns. We will continue to maintain open communication with all involved parties as the project is completed.

ADMIN

District staff met with a Tyler Incode representative to learn more about the previously mentioned texting and emailing functionality. On the District side, we have updated the text messages to meet the thresholds of 160 characters. We also learned that we must now work with Incode and Southwest Networks to fully implement these features and will continue with testing and keep the Board updated as statuses change. We are also awaiting legal guidance on any opt-in/opt-out requirements.
The Board may or may not have noticed the sun-burned signs inside the demo garden. Staff have ordered replacements from the original entity and will have a stronger UV coating. We expect these to be received before the end of the year. Additionally, the large demo garden sign that was simply hung on the fence of the garden contained inaccurate information. A replacement was designed, created, and has been received and the old, incorrect sign has been removed. Admin staff is working with the field staff on a weather resistant bracket and posts to set near the entrance of the demo garden. It is a brushed aluminum material, similar to the gabion wall signs that have stood up to the harsh sun and wind.

FINANCE

Coordination is underway on updating the meter connection deposit quotes and equipment rates that are planned for Board review in December. We are exploring some ways to refine our initial quoting process.

Finance continues to follow up with Paychex on outstanding payroll tax reporting corrections. Although we have been unsuccessful in identifying a different vendor that can accommodate our needs, the ongoing errors continue to require a significant amount of staff time. Approximately 75% of our quarterly payroll tax filings since transitioning to Paychex about three years ago have contained errors requiring correction.

OTHER

CMC | Carrillo Law, P.C.

1881 S. Business Center Drive, Suite 7B
San Bernardino, CA 92408
714-746-4258
Chris.Carrillo@cmclaw.law
cmclaw.law

September 30, 2026

SENT VIA EMAIL
Steve Bardwell
President of the Board
Morongo Basin Conservation Association
P.O. Box 24
Joshua Tree, CA 92252
stevebardwell@mbconservation.org

Re: Conflict of Interest Analysis Regarding Dual Board Service (MBCA Board Members Stacey Doolittle and David Fick)

Dear Board of Directors:

You have requested a legal assessment regarding whether a conflict of interest exists for Morongo Basin Conservation Association ("MBCA") board members Stacey Doolittle and David Fick who concurrently serve as elected officials for the Joshua Basin Water District ("JBWD"). Based on an evaluation of California law, specifically California Government Code §1126 and the landmark appellate decision in *Mazzola v City and County of San Francisco* (1980) 112 Cal.App3d 141, it is my opinion that their concurrent service does not constitute a prohibited conflict of interest.

Legal Framework and Analysis

California Government Code §1126 governs local public agency officials engaging in outside employment or outside activities. Under Section 1126(a), a local agency officer or employee shall not engage in any activity for compensation or otherwise if it is "inconsistent, incompatible, in conflict with, or inimical to his or her duties as a local agency officer or employee or with the duties, functions, or responsibilities of his or her appointing power or the agency by which he or she is employed." However, the California Court of Appeal in *Mazzola* established that Government Code Section 1126 is not self-executing. The *Mazzola* court ruled that a local agency

cannot punish, remove, or restrict an official for outside activities unless that agency has formally adopted a specific incompatible activities policy, explicitly 1) outlining the prohibited conduct, 2) establishing clear disciplinary procedures, and 3) providing the official with advanced notice.

Legal Application to MBCA and JBWD

Because the JBWD has no policy, rule, or regulation prohibiting its elected board members from participating in advocacy work such as the MBCA board, no statutory violation under Section 1126 can be enforced against them. Furthermore, it has been brought to my attention that **JBWD's own legal counsel has recently issued a formal letter to the JBWD board weighing in on this partiular question and affirming that there is no inherent legal conflict of interest presented by their dual service**. Under *Mazzola*, the transparency of their dual roles means that their involvement is effectively permitted in the absence of *explicit pre-existing prohibitive rules*.

Conclusion and Recommendation

MBCA Board members Stacey Doolittle and David Fick may legally and ethically continue to serve concurrently on both the MBCA and JBWD boards. With that stated, while there is no inherent conflict, I would advise that both individuals remain mindful of situations where an actual, specific transactional conflict could arise (e.g., if MBCA enters into a direct contractual dispute). In such isolated events, standard recusal protocols on a case-by-case basis will fully safeguard both entities.

Very truly yours,



Christopher Carrillo

Anne Roman

From: Steve Bardwell <stevebardwell@mbconservation.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 1, 2026 8:09 AM
To: Anne Roman; Rowe, Dawn
Cc: Stacy Doolittle; David Fick
Subject: MBCA - JBWD no conflict of interest
Attachments: Letter to MBCA_Conflict of Interest .pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Due By: Friday, October 2, 2026 11:00 AM
Flag Status: Flagged

Report This Email

Dear Ms Roman and Supervisor Rowe,

To address questions about there being a conflict of interest with MBCA Directors Stacy Doolittle and David Fick serving on the Joshua Basin Water District Board, MBCA solicited a legal opinion on this matter. The letter is attached to this email.

MBCA directors with knowledge about providing, maintaining and protecting our local water resources offer invaluable insight as we continue to provide substantive comments on issues and developments impacting the Morongo Basin and the Community of Joshua Tree. MBCA supports sustainable development that balances economic vitality with protection of the unique environment that fuels the local economy.

Thank you for your service.

Copied here is JBWD President, Stacy Doolittle, and JBWD Board member David Fick.

Regards.

Steve Bardwell
President, MBCA
stevebardwell@mbconservation.org

October 7, 2026

Board of Directors
Joshua Basin Water District

Re: Public Comment, October 7, 2026, Agenda Item 7B: Resolution No. 26-1100 and San Bernardino County's Request Regarding Phase I Septic-to-Sewer Planning

Dear President Doolittle and Members of the Board:

I write to urge caution before the Board gives its support to the County's septic-to-sewer study.

A sewer system would be one of the biggest decisions Joshua Tree makes in a generation. It would affect the groundwater basin, costs to households, and who runs a system residents would depend on for decades. A decision that size works best when the agencies involved plan it together. JBWD manages the groundwater, holds the water-quality data, and has wastewater responsibilities of its own. It belongs at the table from the start.

That has not happened. On April 1, 2026, County representatives told this Board they would return regularly as Phase I moved forward. Since then, JBWD has asked more than once for presentations and an opportunity to work together. Those requests have not led to the engagement the County described.

There is also no memorandum of understanding. No written agreement sets out what the County decides, what JBWD decides, or how disagreements between the agencies are resolved.

Transparency has been limited as well. The County's September 28, 2026 letter answered four consolidated questions and described most of the Board's remaining questions as matters Phase I will answer. According to that letter, the public tracking mechanism for the project "remains to be determined." The August 20, 2026 letter suggested project funding was at risk, but the County has not identified a deadline.

The County has asked for a yes or a no. In that setting, even qualified support is likely to be read as simple support, and its conditions are likely to be set aside. According to the County, only $4,525 has been spent and the consultant's scope of work has not been issued. There is no reason to rush.

I ask the Board to make its support depend on real collaboration first:

- a written agreement on the roles of the County and JBWD;
- a real voice in the Phase I scope of work; and
- regular public updates to the Board.

The Board should also keep every right to say no. If those conditions are not met, waiting is a reasonable answer.

Whatever the Board decides, I trust it to put the basin and the people it serves first. Thank you for considering these comments.

Respectfully submitted,

Erin Gatlin

STATEMENT RE CENSURE – AUGUST 5, 2026

FIRST – I WANT TO CLARIFY THIS STARTED WITH THE ADHOC COMMITTEE DISCUSSING NEED FOR BOARD 'RETREAT'. AS DISCUSSION CONTINUED REALIZED OFFICERS AND BOARD MEMBERS' ROLES NEEDED REVIEW. READING EXISTING JOB DESCRIPTION FOR PRESIDENT, CURRENT PRESIDENT WAS [RADICALLY] OVERREACHING THE PERAMITORS OF THE CURRENT TASKS AS PUBLISHED. FURTHER REVIEW OF THE 'BEHIND THE SCENES' BEHAVIOR BY THE CURRENT PRESIDENT WAS ABOVE AND BEYOND APPROPRIATE BEHAVIOR. WHEN THE BEHAVIOR WITHIN THE STAFF AND DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION APPEARED TO BE OVER THE TOP OF APPROPRIATE! MORE DETAIL CALCULATED BY THE ADHOC COMMITTEE WAS ACTUALLY SUPPRISING AND EVEN MORE THAN NOTICED DURING BOARD PUBLIC MEETINGS.

SECOND- FOLLOWING REVIEW WITHIN THE ADHOC COMMITTEE, STAFF AND LEGAL COUNCIL, IT WAS DECIDED TO DOCUMENT BEHAVIOR OF CURRENT PRESIDENT FROM JANUARY 1026 WHEN FIRST ACTIVE IN THE ROLE UP TO RECENT ACTIONS THAT WERE DOCUMENTED AND REPORTED.

THIRD- IT WAS RECOMMENDED BY LEGAL COUNCIL, THAT ATTEMPTS WOULD BE NECESSARY TO REPORT THE EXISTENT OF OVERREACH IN THE ROLE AND PROPOSE CHANGES DURING A PUBLIC BOARD MEETING AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

FOURTH – AND FRANKLY, FELLOW RATE PAYERS, BUSINESS ONWERS AND FRIENDS, DIRECTOR STACY DOOLITTLE HAS KNOWN BUT IGNORED NUMEROUS REQUESTS TO STOP THE RELENTLESS ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE OF APPROPRIATE BOARD BEHAVIOR BEHIND HER PUBLIC ACTIVITES WHICH LOCALS FIND HELPFUL AND INFORMING. AS SHE HAS BECOME MORE INFORMED HERSELF OF WATER DISTRICT FUNCTIONS OVER THE YEARS SHE HAS BEEN ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, SHE HAD BECOME MORE ASSERTIVE AND PERSISTENT TO DEMAND ACTIONS BY THE DOSTRICT STAFF TO DO HER BIDDING. THESE BIDDING REQUESTS BEHIND THE SCENES HAVE GOTTEN MORE FREQUENT UNBEKNOWNS TO FELLOW BOARD MEMBERS, PUTTING INAPPROPRIATE PRESSURE ON SEVERAL STAFF AS WELL AS OUR LEGAL COUNCIL. FOLLOWING HER APPOINTMENT AS PRESIDENT, HER ACTIONS HAVE INCREASED IN FREQUENCY AND INTENSITY. AS HER ACTIVITY BECAME MORE APPARENT TO OTHER BOARD MEMBERS, WE REALIZED SOMETHING NEEDED TO BE DONE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, TO POSSIBLY LEADING TO STAFF LEAVING OR REPORTING HER BEHAVIOR PUBLICALLY. WE WANT TO CLARIFY THAT MS. DOOLITTLE'S PUBLIC ACTIVITIES SEEM TO BE APROPRIATE AS FAR AS WE KNOW AND THE CONCERNS REALLY ONLY INVOLVE BEHIND THE SCENES BEHAVIOR. ALSO, THE ACTIVITIES OF THE SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY REGARDING DEVELOPMENT AND SEWER PLANS ARE CONCERNING BUT REALLY HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE BOARD AND STAFF CONCERNS WITH PRESIDENT DOOLITTLE INHOUSE! WE JUST WISH SHE WOULD STEP DOWN FROM THAT OFFICE AND CONTINUE AS AN ACTIVE AND AFFECTIVE BOARD MEMBER, AND TEAM PLAYER.

Briefing Summary for Vice President Short

July 24, 2026

Note: This summary reflects the closest possible accounting based on the records currently available. Some figures are approximate, and the totals do not include texts, telephone calls, or in-person communications.

1. Summary Snapshot

Category	Documented Information
Direct contact outside Board meetings 2026	24 meetings
Regular and special Board meetings 2026	14 meetings
Combined documented contact dates	38 meetings
Emails to General Manager since 2020	758
Emails to General Manager in 2026	196
Emails to staff in 2026	150+
Communication to legal counsel	Often weekly as of more recently, and in clusters time to time
2026 Board topics	100+ projected year-end

2. Meetings with GM 2026

Measure	Count
GM/President meetings	15
GM Evaluation Ad Hoc meetings	2
Sacramento legislative trip	3 days
District tour and preparation	3 days
Manager Meetups	1
Total Meetings (outside of board meetings)	24
Board Meetings	14
Total documented contact dates (meetings and board meetings combined)	38

Historical comparison: The GM met with the prior Board President **25 times during all of 2025**. Twenty (20) of those meetings occurred on Board meeting days before the scheduled meeting. By July 8, 2026, direct contact with President Doolittle had nearly equaled that full-year total.

3. Email Communication Comparison

Board Member	Emails to GM Since 2020
Doolittle	758
Jarlsberg	361
Fick	86
Short	79
Floen	65
Other four Board members combined	591

Key comparisons: President Doolittle sent more than twice as many emails to the GM as the next-highest Board member. She sent 32 more emails to the Executive Assistant than the other four Board members combined.

Additional 2026 Detail	Volume
Doolittle emails to the General Manager in 2026	196
Approximate share of Doolittle emails since 2020 sent in 2026	26%

Other Direct Staff Communications

Staff Member	Review Period	Emails from Doolittle	Comparable Contact from Other Board Members
Executive Assistant	2026	Approximately 162	Approximately 130 combined
Director of Admin	2026	Approximately 29	None identified or negligible
Director of Finance	Prior 24 months	Approximately 31	None identified or negligible

Context: The GM has previously requested that Board communications and requests involving staff flow through the General Manager so work can be coordinated and normal reporting relationships maintained. Some of these emails may have included the GM, but not all. Multiple staff concerns have also been reported; individual complaints are not included here.

4. District Legal Counsel Contacts

Counsel reports that President Doolittle's contacts occur approximately weekly at times and may occur in clusters. Available invoices currently extend only from May through June 2026.

Date	Documented Cost
May 12, 2026	$90.30
May 20, 2026	$240.80
June 17, 2026	$391.30
Partial documented total	$722.40

Limitation: More recent invoices have not yet been received, and due to time constraints, invoices issued before May were not reviewed. In addition, some communications may not appear as separately billed entries, but would be included in the topic (i.e., Water Growth Committee may be the record entry, rather than notating it was a call from Doolittle). Accordingly, the figures provided do not represent a complete count of contacts or the total associated cost.

5. Board Workload

Year	Board Topics
2022	64
2023	59
2024	86
2025	72
2026 completed through July 15	64
2026 completed and/or currently planned	99 (105+ projected)

Comparison	Projected Increase
Compared with 2024	18-23 topics (approximately 21%-27%)
Compared with 2025	32-37 topics (approximately 44%-51%)
Compared with 2022-2025 average	34-39 topics (approximately 48%-55%)

Historical average: Approximately 70 Board topics per year from 2022 through 2025.

6. Methodology and Limitations

Category	Method / Limitation
GM email count	Messages sent from Doolittle during the available period since 2020. Outlook used as the source.
Staff email counts	It is challenging and time consuming to extract each email, so these are the conservative counts.
Email definition	Individual messages, including messages within email threads; sender must be Doolittle.
Excluded communications	Texts, telephone calls, and in-person conversations.
Meeting count	Calendar-based direct contact dates outside regular and special Board meetings.
Legal data	Available invoices through June 2026 only.

2. JBWD MINUTES MEETING 10.07.26

Final Audit Report 2026-10-26

Created:	2026-10-21
By:	LISA THOMPSON (lthompson@jbwd.com)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAr8-To23QfHIGsvGg4Jiv39RJ9aTMAimc

"2. JBWD MINUTES MEETING 10.07.26" History

Document created by LISA THOMPSON (lthompson@jbwd.com)
2026-10-21 - 5:57:53 PM GMT

Document emailed to ANNE ROMAN (aroman@jbwd.com) for signature
2026-10-21 - 5:58:23 PM GMT

Email viewed by ANNE ROMAN (aroman@jbwd.com)
2026-10-21 - 5:58:53 PM GMT

Document e-signed by ANNE ROMAN (aroman@jbwd.com)
Signature Date: 2026-10-26 - 7:17:15 PM GMT - Time Source: server - Signature Appearance Selected: DRAW

Agreement completed.
2026-10-26 - 7:17:15 PM GMT